



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

M/L

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,091		Kuriacose Joseph	2050.001US7	2849	
44367	7590	02/15/2007			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH/OPEN TV P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938		EXAMINER			
		BROWN, RUEBEN M			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2623			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		02/15/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/903,091	JOSEPH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Reuben M. Brown	2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Reuben M. Brown.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Larry Johnson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 February 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner confirmed that the Final Rejection mailed 1/11/2007 should be disregarded, that action was an inadvertent re-mailing of the action mailed 8/3/2006. However, applicant is reminded that there is an outstanding Notice of Non-Responsive RCE, mailed on 2/2/2007, applicant did not state the status of claims 1-245, in the Request for RCE filed 1/8/2007.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**REUBEN M. BROWN**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required